## ORIGINAL

Edwin Aiwazian (SBN 232943) 1 Arby Aiwazian (SBN 269827) Joanna Ghosh (SBN 272479) FILED 2 LAWYERS for JUSTICE, PC 410 West Arden Avenue, Suite 203 3 MAY 2 3 2018 Glendale, California 91203 Tel: (818) 265-1020 / Fax: (818) 265-1021 4 L. Hall Attorneys for Plaintiff and the Class 5 6 AMC SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 7 8 FOR THE COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE <u></u> 9 Case No.: RIC1513262 DARREN THOMAS; individually, and on behalf of other members of the general public 10 similarly situated, Honorable Sharon J. Waters Department 10 11 R Plaintiff. CLASS ACTION 12 VS. FROTUSED FINAL APPROVAL 13 ORDER AND JUDGMENT GOODMAN MANUFACTURING COMPANY L.P., an unknown business entity; 14 May 23, 2018 Date: GOODMAN DISTRIBUTION, INC., an 8:30 a.m. unknown business entity; and DOES 2 through Time: 15 Department: 10 100, inclusive, 16 November 5, 2015 February 24, 2016 Complaint Filed: Defendants. FAC Filed: SAC Filed: 17 August 11, 2017 Jury Trial Date: None Set 18 19 20 21 22 <u> 23</u>. 24 25 26 27 28 (PROPOSED) FINAL APPROVAL ORDER AND JUDGMENT

This matter has come before the Honorable Sharon J. Waters in Department 10 of the above-entitled Court, located at 4050 Main Street, Riverside, California 92501, on Plaintiff Darren Thomas' ("Plaintiff") Motion for Final Approval of Class Action Settlement, Attorneys' Fees, Costs, and Service Enhancement Payment ("Motion for Final Approval"). Lawyers for Justice, PC appeared on behalf of Plaintiff and Seyfarth Shaw LLP appeared on behalf of Defendants Goodman Manufacturing Company L.P. and Goodman Distribution, Inc. ("Defendants"). On December 7, 2017, the Court entered an Order Granting Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement ("Preliminary Approval Order"), thereby preliminarily approving the settlement of the above-entitled action ("Action") in accordance with the Joint Stipulation of Settlement and Release ("Stipulation of Settlement") and Amendment No. 1 to Joint Stipulation of Settlement and Release ("Amendment No. 1"), which, together with the exhibits annexed thereto, set forth the terms and conditions for settlement of the Action. On January 5, 2018, the parties executed the Stipulation Amending Settlement Agreement and Order Granting Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement ("Stipulation to Amend Settlement"), and on January 12, 2018, the Court entered an Order approving the Stipulation to Amend Settlement. Together, the Stipulation of Settlement, Amendment No. 1, and Stipulation to Amend Settlement

Having reviewed the Settlement Agreement and duly considered the parties' papers and oral argument, and good cause appearing,

are hereinafter referred to as "Settlement," "Agreement," or "Settlement Agreement."

## THE COURT HEREBY ORDERS, ADJUDGES, AND DECREES AS FOLLOWS:

- All terms used herein shall have the same meaning as defined in the Settlement Agreement and the Preliminary Approval Order.
- 2. This Court has jurisdiction over the claims of the Class Members asserted in this proceeding and over all parties to the Action.

26 ||///

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

· 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23.

24

27 || .

28 | /

 3. The Court finds that the applicable requirements of California Code of Civil Procedure section 382 and California Rule of Court 3.769, et seq. have been satisfied with respect to the Class and the Settlement. The Court hereby makes final its earlier provisional certification of the Class for settlement purposes, as set forth in the Preliminary Approval Order. The Class is hereby defined to include:

All persons who are or have been employed by Defendants in a non-exempt position in California at any time during the period of November 5, 2011 through April 9, 2017.

- 4. The Notice of Class and Collective Action ("Notice"), Request for Exclusion Form, and Objection Form (together, "Settlement Documents") that were provided to the Class Members, fully and accurately informed the Class Members of all material elements of the Settlement and of their opportunity to participate in, object to or comment thereon, or to seek exclusion from, the Settlement; was the best notice practicable under the circumstances; was valid, due, and sufficient notice to all Class Members; and complied fully with the laws of the State of California, the United States Constitution, due process and other applicable law. The Settlement Documents fairly and adequately described the Settlement and provided the Class Members with adequate instructions and a variety of means to obtain additional information.
- 5. Pursuant to California law, the Court hereby grants final approval of the Settlement and finds it reasonable and adequate, and in the best interests of the Class as a whole. More specifically, the Court finds that the Settlement was reached following meaningful discovery and thorough investigation conducted by Class Counsel; that the Settlement is the result of serious, informed, adversarial, and arms-length negotiations between the parties; and that the terms of the Settlement are in all respects fair, adequate, and reasonable. In so finding, the Court has considered all of the evidence presented, including evidence regarding the strength of the Plaintiff's case; the risk, expense, and complexity of the claims presented; the likely duration of further litigation; the amount offered in the Settlement; the extent of investigation and discovery completed; and the experience and views of Class Counsel. The Court has considered the absence of objections to the Settlement and that there was only one (1) valid and timely Request for Exclusion Form submitted by a Class Member to the Settlement

.23

Administrator. Accordingly, the Court hereby directs that the Settlement be effected in accordance with the Settlement Agreement and the following terms and conditions.

- 6. A full opportunity has been afforded to the Class Members to participate in the Final Approval Hearing, and all Class Members and other persons wishing to be heard have been heard. The Class Members also have had a full and fair opportunity to exclude themselves from the Settlement. Accordingly, the Court determines that all Class Members who did not submit a timely and valid Request for Exclusion Form to the Settlement Administrator ("Qualified Claimants") are bound by this Final Approval Order and Judgment.
- 7. The Court finds that Class Member Evelyn Karotick has timely and validly opted out of the Settlement and will not be bound by this Final Approval Order and Judgment.
- 8. It is hereby ordered that Defendants shall transmit the Gross Settlement Amount to the Settlement Administrator, in accordance with the Settlement Agreement.
- 9. It is hereby ordered that the Settlement Administrator, Atticus Administration, LLC ("Atticus"), shall issue payment to itself in the amount of \$7,199 for the services performed and costs incurred for the notice and settlement administration process, in accordance with the Settlement Agreement.
- 10. It is hereby ordered that the Settlement Administrator shall distribute settlement payments to all Qualified Claimants, according to the methodology and terms set forth in the Settlement Agreement.
- 11. It is further ordered, pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure section 384, that the funds associated with any and all settlement checks issued to Qualified Claimants that are not negotiated within one hundred eighty (180) calendar days after they are mailed shall be transmitted to the Unclaimed Wages Fund of the California Department of Industrial Relations. The Court finds that the manner of distribution of funds associated with uncashed settlement checks is an alternative distribution within the meaning of California Code of Civil Procedure section 384(b)(1), that better serves the interest of the Class because it allows those individuals who are issued settlement checks, but who fail to cash them, an additional opportunity to obtain monetary benefits from the Settlement.

.23

- 12. The Court finds that the Service Enhancement Payment sought is fair and reasonable for the work performed by Plaintiff on behalf of the Class. It is hereby ordered that the Settlement Administrator issue payment to Plaintiff Darren Thomas in the amount of \$9,000 for his Service Enhancement Payment.
- 13. The Court finds that the request for an award of attorneys' fees in the amount of \$147,000 falls within the range of reasonableness, and the results achieved justify the award sought. The requested attorneys' fees are fair, reasonable, and appropriate, and are hereby approved. It is hereby ordered that the Settlement Administrator issue payment in the amount of \$147,000 to Lawyers for Justice, PC for attorneys' fees, according to the methodology and terms set forth in the Settlement Agreement.
- 14. The Court finds that reimbursement of litigation costs and expenses in the amount of \$16,024.85 to Class Counsel is reasonable, and hereby approved. It is hereby ordered that the Settlement Administrator issue payment in the amount of \$16,024.85 to Lawyers for Justice, PC for reimbursement of litigation costs and expenses.
- 15. The Court hereby enters Judgment by which Qualified Claimants shall be conclusively determined to have released Defendants and all of their present and former officers, directors, employees, agents, and successors and assigns, from any and all claims, debts, liabilities, demands, obligations, penalties, guarantees, costs, expenses, attorney's fees, damages, actions or causes of action of whatever kind or nature, whether known or unknown, contingent or accrued, that were alleged or could have been alleged in the Action, based upon the facts alleged in the Action, including any claims under federal and state law for unpaid wages, untimely wages, unpaid overtime, liquidated damages, conversion of wages, record-keeping violations, meal\_period\_or\_rest\_period\_violations, business expenses and "waiting time" penalties. This Release shall include all claims that were raised, or that reasonably could have been raised, under the applicable Wage Orders and Labor Code sections 201, 202, 203, 204, 206, 218, 218.5, 226, 226(a), 226.3, 226.7, 510, 511, 512, 514, 551, 552, 558, 1174, 1182.12, 1194, 1194.2, 1197, 1197.1, 1198, 2800, and 2802, as well as claims under Business and Professions Code section 17200 et seq. This release shall apply to any released claims that arose during the period of

[PROPOSED] FINAL APPROVAL ORDER AND JUDGMENT