

# RECEIVED LOS ANGELES SUPERIOR COURT

Edwin Aiwazian (SBN 232943) Arby Aiwazian (SBN 269827)

FEB 18 2021

LAWYERS for JUSTICE, PC

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

410 West Arden Avenue, Suite 203 Glendale, California 91203

S. DREW

Tel: (818) 265-1020 / Fax: (818) 265-1021

Superior Court of California County of Los Angeles

Sherri R<sub>n</sub>Cartex, Executive Officer/Clerk

Attorneys for Plaintiff and the Class

### SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

### FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES-SPRING STREET COURTHOUSE

GREGORY SCHAEFER, individually, and on Case No.: 19STCV00685 behalf of other members of the general public similarly situated and on behalf of other aggrieved employees pursuant to the California Private Attorneys General Act;

Plaintiff.

VS.

DENSO PRODUCTS AND SERVICES AMERICAS, INC., a California corporation; and DOES 1 through 100, inclusive,

Defendants.

Honorable Elihu M. Berle Department SSC6

#### **CLASS ACTION**

#### [PROPOSED] FINAL APPROVAL ORDER AND JUDGMENT

Date:

April 15, 2021

Time:

9:00 a.m.

Department:

SSC6

Complaint Filed: FAC Filed:

January 10, 2019

August 13, 2020

Trial Date:

None Set

This matter has come before the Honorable Elihu M. Berle in Department SSC6 of the above-entitled Court, located at 312 North Spring Street, Los Angeles, California 90012, on Plaintiff Gregory Schaefer's ("Plaintiff") Motion for Final Approval of Class Action Settlement, Attorneys' Fees, Costs, and Service Award ("Motion for Final Approval"). Lawyers *for* Justice, PC appeared on behalf of Plaintiff, and Ogletree, Deakins, Nash, Smoak & Stewart, P.C. appeared on behalf of Defendant Denso Products and Services Americas, Inc. ("Defendant").

On December 15, 2020, the Court entered the Order Granting Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement ("Preliminary Approval Order"), thereby preliminarily approving the settlement of the above-entitled action ("Action") in accordance with the Joint Stipulation of Class Action and PAGA Settlement ("Settlement," "Agreement," or "Settlement Agreement"), which, together with the exhibits annexed thereto, set forth the terms and conditions for settlement of the Action.

Having reviewed the Settlement Agreement and duly considered the parties' papers and oral argument, and good cause appearing,

## THE COURT HEREBY ORDERS, ADJUDGES, AND DECREES AS FOLLOWS:

- All terms used herein shall have the same meaning as defined in the Settlement Agreement and the Preliminary Approval Order.
- 2. This Court has jurisdiction over the claims of the Class Members asserted in this proceeding and over all parties to the Action.
- 3. The Court finds that the applicable requirements of California Code of Civil Procedure section 382 and California Rule of Court 3.769, *et seq.* have been satisfied with respect to the Class and the Settlement. The Court hereby makes final its earlier provisional certification of the Class for settlement purposes, as set forth in the Preliminary Approval Order. The Class is hereby defined to include:

All current and former hourly-paid or non-exempt employees who worked for Defendant within the State of California at any time during the period from January 10, 2015 through June 2, 2020. ("Class" or "Class Members").

4. The Notice of Class Action Settlement ("Class Notice") that was provided to the

Class Members, fully and accurately informed the Class Members of all material elements of the Settlement and of their opportunity to participate in, object to or comment thereon, or to seek exclusion from, the Settlement; was the best notice practicable under the circumstances; was valid, due, and sufficient notice to all Class Members; and complied fully with the laws of the State of California, the United States Constitution, due process and other applicable law. The Class Notice fairly and adequately described the Settlement and provided the Class Members with adequate instructions and a variety of means to obtain additional information.

- and finds that it is reasonable and adequate, and in the best interests of the Class as a whole. More specifically, the Court finds that the Settlement was reached following meaningful discovery and investigation conducted by Lawyers *for* Justice, PC ("Class Counsel"); that the Settlement is the result of serious, informed, adversarial, and arms-length negotiations between the parties; and that the terms of the Settlement are in all respects fair, adequate, and reasonable. In so finding, the Court has considered all of the evidence presented, including evidence regarding the strength of Plaintiff's claims; the risk, expense, and complexity of the claims presented; the likely duration of further litigation; the amount offered in the Settlement; the extent of investigation and discovery completed; and the experience and views of Class Counsel. The Court has further considered the absence of objections to and requests for exclusion from the Settlement submitted by Class Members. Accordingly, the Court hereby directs that the Settlement be affected in accordance with the Settlement Agreement and the following terms and conditions.
- 6. A full opportunity has been afforded to the Class Members to participate in the Final Approval Hearing, and all Class Members and other persons wishing to be heard have been heard. The Class Members also have had a full and fair opportunity to exclude themselves from the Settlement. Accordingly, the Court determines that all Class Members who did not timely and validly opt out of the Settlement ("Settlement Class Member") are bound by this Final Approval Order and Judgment.
- 7. The Court finds that payment of Settlement Administration Costs in the amount of \$10,977.00 is appropriate for the services performed and costs incurred and to be incurred for the

notice	and	settlement	administration	process.	It	is	hereby	ordered	that	the	Settlem	en
Admin	istrat	or, Atticus	Administration,	LLC, sha	ıll i	ssu	e payme	ent to its	self in	the	amount	0
\$10,977.00, in accordance with the terms and methodology set forth in Settlement Agreement.												

- 8. The Court finds that the Service Award sought is fair and reasonable for the work performed by Plaintiff on behalf of the Class. It is hereby ordered that the Settlement Administrator issue payment in the amount of \$7,500.00 to Plaintiff Gregory Schaefer for his Service Award, according to the terms and methodology set forth in the Settlement Agreement.
- 9. The Court finds that the allocation of \$300,000.00 toward penalties under the California Private Attorneys General Act of 2004 ("PAGA Payment"), is fair, reasonable, and appropriate, and hereby approved. The Settlement Administrator shall distribute the PAGA Payment as follows: the amount of \$225,000.00 to the California Labor and Workforce Development Agency, and the amount of \$75,000.00 to be included in the Net Settlement Amount for distribution to Settlement Class Members, according to the terms and methodology set forth in the Settlement Agreement.
- 10. The Court finds that the request for attorneys' fees in the amount of \$612,500.00 to Class Counsel falls within the range of reasonableness, and the results achieved justify the award sought. The requested attorneys' fees to Class Counsel are fair, reasonable, and appropriate, and are hereby approved. It is hereby ordered that the Settlement Administrator issue payment in the amount of \$612,500.00 to Class Counsel for attorneys' fees, in accordance with the terms and methodology set forth in the Settlement Agreement.
- 11. The Court finds that reimbursement of litigation costs and expenses in the amount of \$18,318.71 to Class Counsel is reasonable, and hereby approved. It is hereby ordered that the Settlement Administrator issue payment in the amount of \$18,318.71 to Class Counsel for reimbursement of litigation costs and expenses, in accordance with the terms and methodology set forth in the Settlement Agreement.
- 12. The Court hereby enters Judgment by which Settlement Class Member shall be conclusively determined to have given a release of any and all Released Claims against the Released Parties, as set forth in the Settlement Agreement and Class Notice.

- 13. It is hereby ordered that Defendant shall deposit the Maximum Settlement Amount into an account established by the Settlement Administrator within ten (10) calendar days after the Effective Date, in accordance with the terms and methodology set forth in the Settlement Agreement.

  14. It is hereby ordered that the Settlement Administrator shall distribute Individual Settlement Payments to the Settlement Class Members within twenty-five (25) calendar days after Defendant funds the Maximum Settlement Amount, according to the methodology and terms set forth in the Settlement Agreement.

  15. After entry of this Final Approval Order and Judgment, pursuant to California Rules
  - of Court, Rule 3.769(h), the Court shall retain jurisdiction to construe, interpret, implement, and enforce the Settlement Agreement and this Final Approval Order and Judgment, to hear and resolve any contested challenge to a claim for settlement benefits, and to supervise and adjudicate any dispute arising from or in connection with the distribution of settlement benefits.
  - 16. Notice of entry of this Final Approval Order and Judgment shall be given to the Class Members by posting a copy of the Final Approval Order and Judgment on Atticus Administration, LLC's website for a period of at least sixty (60) calendar days after the date of entry of this Final Approval Order and Judgment. Individualized notice is not required.

Dated: April 15, 202

HONORABLE ELINUM. BERLE JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT