E-Served: Apr 19 2023 4:06PM PDT Via Case Anywhere

Superior Court of California County of Los Angeles

04/19/2023

David W. Slay	tan, Executive Officer	Clerk of Cour
By:	R. Arraiga	Deputy

3

1

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

VS.

16

17

Ι/

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

JUDGMENT

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

LORETTA CANNON, as an) Case No.: 19STCV14554 individual and on behalf of all) others similarly situated,)

Plaintiff,)

Plaintiff,

PASADENA HOSPITAL ASSOCIATION,)
LTD D/B/A/ HUNTINGTON HOSPITAL,)
and DOES 1-50, inclusive,)

Defendants.

The Court finds as follows:

A. The Court granted preliminary approval of the Amended Settlement Agreement ("Settlement Agreement") and certified a provisional settlement class on August 18, 2022.

B. The Court granted final approval of the Settlement Agreement on March 23, 2023, certified the settlement class with the following opt-outs: Priscilla Raymond, Pete Boonyakiat, Shilpa Jandyala, Higinio De La Rosa, Diane Kroeger, Rano Abramyan, Xiomara Uzunyan, Tyra Chan, Frances Elliott, and Megan Hersh, and found that the Settlement Agreement was fair, adequate and reasonable.

C. The Court defined the following:

"Class Members": all current and former non-exempt employees of Defendant who worked in California at any time during the Class Period ("Settlement Class Members"). (¶3)

"Class Period": September 30, 2016 through (1) December 31, 2021, or (2) the date the Court grants preliminary approval of this Settlement, whichever occurs first. (¶4)

"PAGA Employee" or "Aggrieved Employee": all current and former non-exempt employees of Defendant who worked in California at any time during the PAGA Period. (¶18)

"PAGA Period": April 12, 2018 through the date the Court grants preliminary approval of this Settlement. ($\P21$)

"Settlement Class Members": the individual Class Members who did not opt-out of the Settlement by submitting a valid request for exclusion. $(\P 25)$

IT IS ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED:

- 1. Plaintiff Loretta Cannon, as an individual and on behalf of all others similarly situated, shall take from Defendant Pasadena Hospital Association, Ltd. D/B/A/ Huntington Hospital, as set forth in the Parties' Settlement Agreement and the Court's Approval Order entered March 23, 2023.
- 2. Defendants must pay Plaintiffs the Gross Settlement Amount (GSA) of \$3,700,000. The Net Settlement Amount is the GSA minus the following:
- a. \$1,233,333 (33 1/3%) for attorney fees to Class Counsel, Crosner Legal, PC and Marlis Park, P.C.; ($\P46$);
- b. \$14,213.53 for litigation costs to Class Counsel
 (Ibid.);
- c. \$5,000 for a service award to the Named Plaintiff Loretta Cannon; (\$947);
- d. \$37,277 for settlement administration costs to Atticus Administration, LLC.
- e. \$60,000 (75% of \$80,000 PAGA penalty) to the LWDA (\$43).

Defendant's share of payroll taxes will be paid separately and in addition to the GSA. ($\P26$)

3. The "Class Released Claims". Upon the date the Settlement is funded, the Settlement Class Members and Plaintiff release all claims pleaded in the Operative Complaint, and which could have been alleged based upon the facts, allegations and/or

claims pleaded in the Operative Complaint, against the Released Parties, for work performed during the Class Period, including the following: (¶62)

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The Claims set forth in the Operative Complaint, specifically, (1) failure to pay minimum wages (Labor Code §§ 1194, 1194.2, 1197, 1197.1, 1198, 1199); (2) failure to pay overtime wages (Labor Code §§ 204, 510, 558, and 1194); (3) recovery of reporting time pay (Labor Code § 1198, 8 Cal. Regs. Code § 11050(5); (4) failure to provide meal periods or compensation in lieu thereof (Labor Code §§ 226.7 and 512); (5) failure to provide rest periods or compensation in lieu thereof (Labor Code § 226.7); (6) recovery of vested, accrued paid time off (Labor Code § 227.3); (7) failure to provide paid sick leave (Labor Code §§ 245-248.5); (8) failure to pay reimbursement for business expenses (Labor Code § 2802); (9) failure to provide accurate wage statements (Labor Code §§ 226, 1174 and 1175); (10) waiting time penalties (Labor Code §§ 201, 202 and 203); (11) failure to properly conduct background checks (Labor Code §§ 432.7, 1024.5); (12) retaliation (Labor Code § 1102.5); (13) violation of Unfair Competition Law (Business and Professions Code §§ 17200 et seq.); (14) violation of the Fair Labor Standards Act (29 U.S.C. § 207); and (15) predicated on the same facts and/or claims alleged in the Operative Complaint; as well as any claims that could have been pled which arise from the

same facts in the Operative Complaint concerning the Class Representative or the putative class including claims for interest, penalties (including but not limited to waiting time penalties), or premiums in connection therewith; (¶62.a)

Any claims for injunctive relief, declaratory relief, restitution, fraudulent business practices or punitive damages alleged or which could have been alleged under the facts, allegations and/or claims pleaded in the Operative Complaint; (¶62.b)

Any and all other claims under federal law that were or could have been alleged under the facts, allegations and/or claims pleaded in the Operative Complaint. In addition, to the extent required by law, the cashing of the settlement check by the Settlement Class Member shall be deemed to be an opt-in for purposes of releasing Released Parties from any claims predicated under the Fair Labor Standards Act ("FLSA") that could have been alleged under the facts, allegations and/or claims pleaded in the Operative Complaint. The Settlement Administrator shall include a legend on the settlement check stating "By cashing this check, to the extent required by law to effectuate a release of FLSA claims, I am opting into the settlement in Loretta Cannon v. Pasadena Hospital Association Ltd d/b/a Huntington Hospital, pending in Los Angeles Superior Court, Case No. 19STCV14554, under FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 216(b), and

2

1

3

4

5

67

8

9

10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

24

_ 1

25

releasing the Released Claims described in the Settlement Agreement." The claims set forth in subparagraphs (a)-(c) hereinabove shall be collectively referred to as the "Class Released Claims." ($\P62.c$)

"Operative Complaint" shall mean and refer to the Second Amended Complaint. ($\P 16$)

Release of PAGA Claims. Upon the date the Settlement is funded, Plaintiff and the PAGA Employees hereby do and shall be deemed to have fully, finally, and forever released, settled, compromised, relinquished and discharged any and all of the Released Parties of and from any and all claims for violation of the California Private Attorneys General Act of 2004 (Labor Code § 2698, et seq.), ("PAGA claims") as alleged in the Operative Complaint, which includes those alleged in the PAGA letter(s) sent to the LWDA by Plaintiff that arose at any time during the PAGA Period. The PAGA Employees will be issued a check for their share of the PAGA Payment and will not have the opportunity to opt out of, or object to, the PAGA Payment and release of the PAGA Claims set forth in this Paragraph. The PAGA Employees are bound by the release of the PAGA Claims regardless of whether they cash or deposit their PAGA Employee Payment. (¶63)

"Released Parties" collectively shall encompass Pasadena Hospital Association Ltd d/b/a Huntington Hospital, and any of its past, present and future direct or indirect parents,

subsidiaries, predecessors, successors and affiliates, as well as each of its past, present and future officers, directors, employees, partners, members, shareholders and agents, attorneys, insurers, and reinsurers. (¶23)

Named Plaintiff, Loretta Cannon, additionally provides a general release and \$1542 waiver. (\$94)

- 4. All uncashed settlement checks, plus interest, must be delivered to the California State Controller's Unclaimed

 Property Division in the name of the Class Member/Aggrieved

 Employee who did not cash his or her check.
- 5. Pursuant to California Rules of Court, Rule 3.769(h), the Court retains jurisdiction over the parties with respect to enforcement of this Judgment under California Code of Civil Procedure Section 664.6.

CLERK TO GIVE NOTICE.

DATED: April 19, 2023

April 19, 20