The Honorable Andrea K. Robertson 1 Hearing Date: June 10, 2024 Without Oral Argument 2 3 4 5 6 7 IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF KING 8 9 DONALD GEDDIS, ERIC SHARP, and BRANDON BELL, individually and on No. 22-2-12102-5 SEA 10 behalf of all those similarly situated, ORDER GRANTING SETTLEMENT 11 Plaintiffs, **CLASS CERTIFICATION AND** 12 PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASSv. WIDE SETTLEMENT 13 TRIPLE CANOPY, INC., a foreign 14 corporation, 15 Defendant. 16 17 This matter comes before the Court on Plaintiffs' Unopposed Motion for Settlement 18 Class Certification and Preliminary Approval of Class-Wide Settlement. The Court has 19 20 reviewed the papers filed in connection with the Motion, including the declaration of 21 Plaintiffs' counsel, Adam J. Berger, and exhibits thereto. 22 The Court GRANTS the motion and preliminarily approves of the parties' proposed 23 class-wide settlement. The Court further ORDERS as follows: 24 1. The Court finds that the prerequisites of CR 23(a) and (b)(3) are satisfied for 25 the Settlement Class, as defined by the parties and set forth below. Specifically, the 26

17

19

21

20

22 23

24 25

26

Settlement Class, which consists of approximately 382 individual workers, is sufficiently numerous such that joinder of all members is impracticable. Further, there are questions of law and fact common to the Settlement Class, including, but not limited to: whether the operational requirements of Class Members' jobs prevented them from getting adequate meal breaks; whether Defendant Triple Canopy provided sufficient staffing and other opportunities for guards to receive meal breaks; whether Triple Canopy provided additional compensation for guards when they missed their meal breaks; and whether Triple Canopy's practices constituted willful withholding of wages under the Wage Rebate Act, RCW 49.52. The Court also finds that the Named Plaintiffs' claims are typical of the claims of the Settlement Class, and that Plaintiffs and their counsel will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the Settlement Class. Finally, certification of a settlement class under CR 23(b)(3) is appropriate because questions of law and fact common to all Settlement Class Members predominate over any questions that might affect only individual members in the context of the proposed settlement, and a class action settlement is superior to other available means for the fair and efficient resolution of this controversy.

2. Pursuant to Civil Rule 23(a) and (b)(3), the Court certifies this matter as a class action for settlement purposes, with the Settlement Class defined as follows:

Plaintiffs and all other individuals who were employed by Triple Canopy in the State of Washington as hourly-paid protective security guards at any time from August 1, 2019 through and including February 28, 2023 (the "Settlement Class Period").

3. The Court appoints Named Plaintiffs Donald Geddis, Eric Sharp, and Brandon Bell as Class Representatives, and Adam J. Berger and Elizabeth A Hanley of Schroeter Goldmark & Bender as Class Counsel.

- 4. The Court preliminarily finds that the parties' proposed Settlement falls within the range of a fair, reasonable, and adequate settlement and notice of the proposed Settlement should be given to the Settlement Class.
- 5. The Court approves the appointment of Atticus Administration LLC as Settlement Administrator with the duties and responsibilities set forth by the parties in the Settlement Agreement. The Court preliminarily approves Atticus's fee for these services, in an amount not to exceed \$12,000.
- 6. The Court approves the form and content of the parties' proposed notice to the Settlement Class (the "Notice") that is attached as Exhibit 2 to the Declaration of Adam J. Berger.
- 7. The Court concludes that the manner of giving notice by U.S. mail and also by making the Notice available to be read online satisfies CR 23 and the requirements of due process. Consistent with the terms of the parties' Settlement Agreement, the Court directs Defendants to provide the Settlement Administrator with current contact information for each member of the Settlement Class within fifteen business (15) days of the entry of this Order; and further directs the Settlement Administrator to, in turn, mail a copy of the Notice to each member of the Settlement Class within thirty (30) calendar days of the date of preliminary approval.
- 8. Individuals who receive the Notice and wish to exclude themselves ("opt out") from the Settlement Class must do so within thirty (30) days of the Notice date by following the instructions as set forth in the Notice.
- 9. Members of the Settlement Class who do not "opt out" may submit a written objection to any of the terms of the proposed settlement within thirty (30) days of the Notice

date, by following the instructions as set forth in the Notice. Only individuals who file a timely, written objection to the settlement will be permitted to appeal or seek review of this Court's decision approving or rejecting the settlement.

- 10. The Court conditionally approves Class Counsel's request for an attorneys' fee award of 20% of the Maximum Settlement Amount (or \$360.000.00) plus reasonable litigation costs, not to exceed \$12,500.00. This approval is preliminary and is subject to modification at the time of final settlement approval.
- 11. The Court preliminarily approves an incentive payment of \$5,000 each to Named Plaintiffs Donald Geddis, Eric Sharp, and Brandon Bell in recognition of their efforts in this case and service to the Settlement Class. This approval is preliminary and is subject to modification at the time of final settlement approval.
- 12. On <u>Tuesday</u>, <u>September 10</u>, 2024, at <u>10:15 am</u>, the Court will conduct a hearing ("Final Settlement Hearing") to determine whether to approve the settlement as fair, reasonable, and adequate, which, if so approved, will result in a dismissal of the case with prejudice. The Final Settlement Hearing may, without further notice to the Settlement Class, be continued or adjourned by order of this Court. Such hearing will take place at the King County Superior Court in <u>Seattle</u>, WA located at <u>516 3rd Ave Seattle 98104</u>, Court <u>E-815</u>.
- 13. Settlement Class Members are not required to attend the Final Settlement Hearing in order to be considered part of the Settlement Class, but may appear if they so choose.
- 14. The Court directs Class Counsel to submit a motion for final approval of the settlement, along with a proposed order approving the settlement and awarding Class Counsels' fees/costs and incentive payments for Class Representatives no later than six (6)

King County Superior Court Judicial Electronic Signature Page

Case Number: 22-2-12102-5

Case Title: GEDDIS ET AL VS TRIPLE CANOPY INC ET ANO

Document Title: ORDER RE SETTLEMENT CLASS CERT, SET HEARING

Signed By: Andrea Robertson
Date: June 12, 2024

Judge: Andrea Robertson

This document is signed in accordance with the provisions in GR 30.

Certificate Hash: 91CA01BD9E0F7B1F0FDDB8476C1BC53108D3369C

Certificate effective date: 1/6/2021 3:25:19 PM Certificate expiry date: 1/6/2026 3:25:19 PM

Certificate Issued by: C=US, E=kcscefiling@kingcounty.gov, OU=KCDJA,

O=KCDJA, CN="Andrea Robertson:

yB71riJ16hG1sZ0o/CyjcQ=="